# Agenda

# Please note that due to the COVID-19 situation this meeting will take place remotely.

A link to view the live and recorded webcast of the remote meeting will be available on the Mole Valley Local Committee page on the council's website.

https://mycouncil.surreycc.gov.uk/ieListDocum ents.aspx?CId=165&MId=7498

# Discussion

 Highways Forward Programme 2021-22 and 2022-23 We welcome you to Mole Valley Local Committee Your Councillors, Your Community and the Issues that Matter to You



# Venue

Location: Virtual via MS Teams Date: Wednesday, 24 February 2021 Time: 2.00 pm



# You can get involved in the following ways

## Ask a question

If there is something you wish to know about how your council works or what it is doing in your area, you can ask the local committee a question about it.

## Write a question

You can also put your question to the local committee in writing. The Partnership Committee Officer must receive it a minimum of 4 working days in advance of the meeting.

We will, where possible, endeavour to provide a written response to your question in advance of the meeting.

When you submit your question you will be sent an email invitation with a link to join the remote meeting, which will be held on Microsoft Teams.

This will enable you to listen to the Written Questions item and to then ask a further question based on the response provided if you wish, when invited to do so by the Chairman.

## Sign a petition

If you live, work or study in Surrey and have a local issue of concern, you can petition the local committee and ask it to consider taking action on your behalf. Petitions should have at least 30 signatures and should be submitted to the Partnership Committee Officer 2 weeks before the meeting. You will be asked if you wish to outline your key concerns to the committee and will be given 3 minutes to address the meeting remotely via MS Teams. Your petition may either be discussed at the meeting or alternatively, at the following meeting.

# Attending the Local Committee meeting

Your Partnership Committee Officer is here to help.

Email: jess.lee@surreycc.gov.uk Tel: 01932 794079 (text or phone) Website: http://www.surreycc.gov.uk/molevalley



Follow @MoleValleyLC on Twitter

This is a meeting in public.

Please contact **Jess Lee, Partnership Committee Officer** using the above contact details:

- If you would like a copy of this agenda or the attached papers in another format, e.g. large print, Braille, or another language. In view of the current Covid situation it may not be possible to supply this in advance of the meeting.
- If you would like to talk about something in today's meeting or have a local initiative or concern.

#### **Surrey County Council Appointed Members**

Mr Tim Hall, Leatherhead and Fetcham East (Chairman) Mr Stephen Cooksey, Dorking and the Holmwoods (Vice-Chairman) Mrs Helyn Clack, Dorking Rural Mrs Clare Curran, Bookham and Fetcham West Mr Chris Townsend, Ashtead Mrs Hazel Watson, Dorking Hills

#### **District Council Appointed Members**

Cllr Rosemary Dickson, Leatherhead South Cllr Nancy Goodacre, Bookham South Cllr Raj Haque, Fetcham West Cllr David Hawksworth CBE, Ashtead Common Cllr Mary Huggins, Capel, Leigh and Newdigate Cllr Claire Malcomson, Holmwoods

> Chief Executive Joanna Killian

Cllr Tim Ashton, Leatherhead South Cllr Lesley Bushnell, Capel, Leigh and Newdigate Cllr David Harper, Ashtead Park Cllr Paul Kennedy, Fetcham West Cllr Alan Reilly, Ashtead Village Cllr Caroline Salmon, Beare Green Cllr Charles Yarwood, Charlwood

#### 1 APOLOGIES FOR ABSENCE AND SUBSTITUTIONS

To receive any apologies for absence and notices of substitutions from District members under Standing Order 39.

#### 2 MINUTES OF PREVIOUS MEETING

(Pages 1 - 10)

To approve the Minutes of the previous meeting as a correct record.

#### 3 DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST

All Members present are required to declare, at this point in the meeting or as soon as possible thereafter

(i) Any disclosable pecuniary interests and / or

(ii) Other interests arising under the Code of Conduct in respect of any item(s) of business being considered at this meeting

NOTES:

• Members are reminded that they must not participate in any item where they have a disclosable pecuniary interest

• As well as an interest of the Member, this includes any interest, of which the Member is aware, that relates to the Member's spouse or civil partner (or any person with whom the Member is living as a spouse or civil partner)

• Members with a significant personal interest may participate in the discussion and vote on that matter unless that interest could be reasonably regarded as prejudicial

#### 4a PUBLIC QUESTIONS

To receive any questions from Surrey County Council electors within the area in accordance with Standing Order 66.

#### 4b MEMBER QUESTIONS

To receive any written questions from Members under Standing Order 47.

#### 5 PETITIONS

To receive any petitions in accordance with Standing Order 65 or letters of representation in accordance with the Local Protocol. An officer response will be provided to each petition / letter of representation.

One petition was received before the deadline.

8	FORW	ARD PLAN [FOR INFORMATION]	(Pages 23 - 24)
		ocal committee is asked to note the progress made and to remove from the tracker any items marked 'complete'.	
		acker monitors the progress of decisions and mendations that the local committee has agreed.	
7	DECIS	SION TRACKER [FOR INFORMATION]	(Pages 19 - 22)
	of hig	eport seeks approval of the recently updated programme hway works for Mole Valley funded from the Local nittee's delegated capital and revenue budgets.	
6		TED HIGHWAYS FORWARD PROGRAMME 2021-22 TO 24 [EXECUTIVE FUNCTION - FOR DECISION]	(Pages 11 - 18)
		The full wording of this petition and officer response will be provided within the supplementary agenda.	
	а	PETITION TO: INTRODUCE TWO BOX JUNCTIONS IN DORKING	

The Local Committee (Mole Valley) will note the contents of the forward plan.

This page is intentionally left blank

#### DRAFT

Minutes of the meeting of the Mole VALLEY LOCAL COMMITTEE held at 2.00 pm on 9 December 2020 at Virtual.

#### Surrey County Council Members:

- \* Mr Tim Hall (Chairman)
- \* Mr Stephen Cooksey (Vice-Chairman)
- \* Mrs Helyn Clack
- \* Mrs Clare Curran
- \* Mr Chris Townsend
- \* Mrs Hazel Watson

#### **Borough / District Members:**

- Cllr Rosemary Dickson
- Cllr Nancy Goodacre
- \* Cllr Raj Haque
- \* Cllr David Hawksworth CBE
- \* Cllr Mary Huggins
- \* Cllr Claire Malcomson

\* In attendance

#### 23/20 APOLOGIES FOR ABSENCE AND SUBSTITUTIONS [Item 1]

Apologies for absence were received from Cllr Nancy Goodacre; Cllr Caroline Salmon attended as her substitute; and Cllr Rosemary Dickson.

#### 24/20 MINUTES OF PREVIOUS MEETING [Item 2]

The minutes of the previous meeting on 17 June 2020 were agreed as a true record.

#### 25/20 DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST [Item 3]

Mrs Hazel Watson declared an interest in Item 5b as a Governor of Ashcombe School, whose pupils would benefit from a crossing on Chalkpit Lane.

#### 26a/20 PUBLIC QUESTIONS [Item 4a]

#### Declarations of Interest: None

**Officers attending:** Zena Curry, Area Highways Manager (AHM), SCC and Duncan Knox, Road Safety and Active Travel Team Manager (RSATTM), SCC

**Petitions, Public Statements, Questions:** The questions and officer responses were provided within the supplementary agenda. Seven written questions were received before the deadline.

Question one was submitted by Cllr Caroline Salmon, who asked the following supplementary question;

Do officers know what is causing the subsidence and do they feel leaving it until 2021 is safe?

The AHM responded by saying the whole area of A24 had undergone a detailed investigation and would continue to have frequent highways safety inspections and be monitored until the time that it prioritised for work.

Question two was submitted by Cllr James Friend, who did not attend the meeting but did ask that he be provided with an update when the meeting between officers had taken place. The AHM agreed this.

Question three was submitted by John Arnold, Mole Valley Cycling Forum. Mr Arnold attended the meeting and asked the following supplementary question;

Given that it is now nearly 2021, and there is no date for commencement of a Local Cycling and Walking Infrastructure Plan (LCWIP) for Mole Valley and only a vague statement that "other areas of the county, including Mole Valley, will follow (Reigate & Banstead) in due course." Without a LWCIP, Mole Valley will not be eligible for any government funding for cycling and walking improvements. The Mole Valley Cycle Forum, and other stakeholders, have 'oven ready' proposals for a workshop and would appreciate a firm date to be identified when the first workshop can take place which would be a constructive contribution to the LWCIP process.

The AHM thanked Mr Arnold for his question and noted a question about LCWIPs was recently asked at full council. It was noted that three LCWIPs had been diarised; Reigate & Banstead, Elmbridge and Runnymede. Approximately one every four months. The roll out of the LCWIPs was expensive and labour intensive and therefore it was not possible to roll them out in all areas at one time. Currently there was no further schedule for the remaining LCWIPs. But when a time for the Mole Valley LCWIP was known, it would be shared with the Mole Valley Cycling Forum. The AHM thanked Mr Arnold for his 'oven ready' proposals; adding it was always useful to know what the local community was looking for. She added however, these would not form part of the LCWIP process.

Question four was submitted by Rosemary Hobbs. Mrs Hobbs attended the meeting and asked the following supplementary question;

How should residents inform Surrey County Council and Surrey Police when they have concerns about excessive noise and speed? The RSATTM advised Mrs Hobbs that the SCC website contained a report it function. It was suggested this would be the best way to inform the County Council over ongoing issues of speeding. It was suggested a specific incident would be best reported to Surrey Police. He noted however, that providing details such as a number plate was not always easy or practically possible in such cases, particularly when vehicles were travelling at speed.

Question five was submitted by Cllr Roger Adams, who did not attend the meeting. The divisional member noted the question raised was a good one and one that was frequently raised by residents. She noted the officer response with regards to the compulsory purchase order of the adjacent land. And added that it may become possible to improve matters at the junction with A246 through a Section 106 agreement, should the Chalkpit Lane depot across the road be redeveloped.

Question six was submitted by Mr Andrew Matthews, who attended the meeting and asked the following supplementary question;

The planned provision for cycling to the new Howard of Effingham School appears inadequate, with only 10% of students able to store their bicycles at the new school, and with no dedicated cycle highway planned. This will result in cyclists competing with pedestrians on the shared path. Given the recent government drive for people to take up active travel, can Surrey County Council explain why there is not a better plan for sustainable transport to the new school when the council recently applied for a £7.8million grant to improve facilities elsewhere in the county?

The AHM thanked Mr Matthews for his question; noting that the response had been provided by colleagues from Transport Development Planning (TDP), who were not at the meeting. She stated that it would be best for the supplementary question to be responded to outside the meeting by TDP colleagues. This was agreed by the Chairman and Mr Matthews.

Question seven was submitted by Cllr Paul Kennedy, who attended the meeting and asked the following supplementary question;

The response mentions the Road Safety Working Group. Who is invited to this and who does this group report to?

The RSATTM explained this group was hosted by colleagues from within his team and included colleagues from Surrey Police and Area Highway teams. He added that each Borough/District had six-monthly meetings to review accident hotspots. This involved analysing the problem and looking at solutions. He added the schemes across the whole county were prioritised based on number of collisions and cost benefit analysis. It was confirmed the working group was accountable to the Cabinet Member for Highways and in cases where speed limit changes were suggested as solutions, these were brought to the Local Committee for approval.

#### 26b/20 MEMBER QUESTIONS [Item 4b]

Declarations of Interest: None

Officers attending: Zena Curry, Area Highways Manager (AHM), SCC

**Petitions, Public Statements, Questions:** The questions and officer responses were provided within the supplementary agenda. One written question was received before the deadline.

Question one was received from Mrs Hazel Watson. Mrs Watson thanked officers for the response and added it was a shame the whole road wasn't resurfaced when sections of it were done in 2019. As it was likely this would have been more cost-effective.

#### 27/20 PETITIONS [Item 5]

Two petitions were received before the deadline. The full wording of these petitions and officer responses were provided within the supplementary agenda.

#### 28/20 PETITION TO: IMPROVE THE SAFETY ON THE NEWDIGATE ROAD FOR OUR SCHOOL AND CHILDREN [Item 5a]

#### Declarations of Interest: None

**Officers attending:** Zena Curry, Area Highways Manager (AHM), SCC and Duncan Knox, Road Safety and Active Travel Team Manager (RSATTM), SCC

**Petitions, Public Statements, Questions:** Mr James Baguley, Head teacher of The Weald CofE Primary School attended the meeting and addressed the Local Committee with his concerns.

He stated the problem was mostly an issue at the end of a school day. The Newdigate Road was narrow in nature and parents often parked in a dangerous manner when congregating. He added there was a lack of signage along the road and suggested that adding signs to make motorists aware of the road's speed limit, could help.

#### Key points from discussion:

- The divisional member noted a site visit with officers had recently taken place, as noted in the response. And also that as the neighbouring pub had recently put in a planning application to turn in to housing, it was unlikely they would be able to help by offering the use of their car park for parents.
- It was suggested the school could launch an internal campaign and plea to parents to change their behaviour and improve their parking to improve the safety of the road.
- The RSATTM noted that SCC would be rolling out pedestrian training for primary school children, when safe to do so following the COVID-19 pandemic.

Therefore, the Local Committee noted:

- 1. Several site visits were carried out by officers from SCC's Road Safety Team, SCC's Active Travel Team, SCC's South East Area Highways Team and Surrey Police. These visits were carried out both during the morning school drop off and afternoon school pick up.
- 2. The recommendation within the Road Safety Outside Schools report regarding an additional parking restriction and that this would be further investigated by the parking team.
- 3. The Safer Travel Team would work with the school to introduce the recommended additional road safety education activities and school travel plan and assist with the negotiation of using the pubs car park as a park and stride location.

#### 29/20 PETITION TO: INSTALL A SAFE, CONTROLLED PEDESTRIAN CROSSING AT CHALKPIT LANE, DORKING [Item 5b]

**Declarations of Interest:** Mrs Hazel Watson declared an interest as a Governor of Ashcombe School, whose pupils would benefit from a crossing on Chalkpit Lane.

Officers attending: Zena Curry, Area Highways Manager (AHM), SCC

**Petitions, Public Statements, Questions:** Aimee Fairhurst and Kathy Kyle attended the meeting to address the Local Committee with details of their petition. The PowerPoint presented to the Local Committee is attached as Annex A to these minutes.

#### Key points from the discussion:

- Members thanked the petitioners for their excellent presentation and undisputable case for why a crossing was needed on Chalkpit Lane.
- It was suggested that if Mole Valley District Council (MVDC) did not have enough Neighbourhood Community Infrastructure Levy (CIL) funding for the scheme that SCC should pursue Strategic CIL from the District Council instead, because the scheme was both wanted and needed by the immediate and wider communities.
- It was noted that CIL funding could not be used to fund feasibility studies. And such a study would be required at this location. It was confirmed that finding a source of funding for a feasibility study was the greatest challenge.
- The AHM explained that even when funding for feasibility had been found and the study completed, it didn't always result in the desired scheme being taken forward, as this wasn't always found to be the most suitable.
- Members noted the issues of funding were complex and acknowledged the AHM, along with other officers would continue to work on finding suitable sources of funding for this scheme.

Therefore, the Local Committee noted:

- i. The continued requests for a controlled pedestrian crossing to be installed on Chalkpit Lane, Dorking.
- ii. The factors that have an impact on the opportunity to provide a controlled pedestrian crossing point which would be safe to use.
- iii. That officers will continue to look for other sources of funding to construct a controlled crossing point in Chalkpit Lane that would be safe for pedestrians to use.

#### 30/20 HIGHWAYS FORWARD PROGRAMME 2021-22 AND 2022-23 [EXECUTIVE FUNCTION - FOR DECISION] [Item 6]

#### Declarations of Interest: None

Officers attending: Zena Curry, Area Highways Manager (AHM), SCC

#### Petitions, Public Statements, Questions: None

The AHM introduced the report drawing members attentions to the figures in the table on page 11 and annex 1 of the proposed schemes to carry out in 2021-22 and 2022-23.

#### **Resolution:**

The Local Committee (Mole Valley):

#### General

- i. Noted that the Local Committee's devolved highways budget for capital works in 2021/22, subject to approval by full Council in February 2021, was £240,400.
- ii. Agreed that the devolved capital budget for highway works be used to progress both capital improvement schemes and capital maintenance schemes.
- iii. Noted that should there be any changes to the programme of highway works as set out in this report, a report will be taken to a future meeting of Mole Valley Local Committee to inform members of the changes.

#### Capital Improvement Schemes (ITS)

- Agreed that the capital improvement schemes allocation for Mole Valley be used to progress the Integrated Transport Schemes programme set out in Annex 1;
- v. Authorised that the Area Highway Manager, in consultation with the Local Committee Chairman and Vice-Chairman, be able to vire money between the schemes agreed in Annex 1, if required;
- vi. Agreed that the remaining £24,000 from the £100,000 possible Capital Improvement Schemes (ITS) budget be split equally between members

(£4,000 per member) to be used towards the funding of an ITS scheme, part match funding of a CIL funded scheme or as an additional contribution towards the Member's capital maintenance scheme (eg.LSR)

vii. Agree that the Local Committee Chairman, Vice-Chairman and Area Team Manager, together with the local divisional Member are able to progress any scheme from the Integrated Transport Schemes programme, including consultation and statutory advertisement that may be required under the Road Traffic Regulation Act 1984, for completion of those schemes. Where it is agreed that a scheme will not be progressed, this will be reported back to the next formal meeting of the Local Committee for approval.

#### Capital Maintenance Schemes (LSR)

viii. Agreed that the capital maintenance schemes allocation for Mole Valley be divided equitably between County Councillors to carry out capital maintenance works in their divisions, and that the schemes to be progressed be agreed by divisional members in consultation with the Area Maintenance Engineer.

#### Revenue Maintenance

- ix. Noted that members will continue to receive a Member Local Highways Fund allocation of £7,500 per county member to address highway issues in their division; and
- x. Agreed that the Member Local Highways Fund be managed by the Area Maintenance Engineer on behalf of and in consultation with members.

#### **Reasons for Decisions:**

The above decisions were made in order to agree a forward programme of highways works in Mole Valley for 2021/22 – 2022/23, funded from the Local Committee's devolved budget.

#### 31/20 SPEED LIMIT ASSESSMENTS [EXECUTIVE FUNCTION - FOR DECISION] [Item 7]

#### Declarations of Interest: None

**Officers attending:** Duncan Knox, Road Safety and Active Travel Team Manager (RSATTM), SCC

#### Petitions, Public Statements, Questions: None

The RSATTM introduced the report noting that the Road Safety Working Group had identified a history of collisions on A29 and A243 that they believed could be addressed by a reduction in speed limit. Having conducted speed limit assessments, officers noted that average speeds were close enough to 40mph that it was felt reducing the speed limit on these roads could make a difference on its own. The RSATTM noted the proposal in relation to A217 was to convert a 50mph stretch - sandwiched between two 40mph stretches - to 40mph. This would then result in one continuous 40mph stretch.

The officer further added that he had already proceeded to advertise this, for which he apologised, acknowledging that he should have sought permission from this Local Committee to advertise, before doing so. He stated that when the results from the consultation were in, he would present these to the Mole Valley Local Committee to see if the committee wished to proceed. He concluded he had previously taken this proposal to the Reigate & Banstead Local Committee for decision. For which they had already agreed to its advertisement.

#### Key points from the discussion:

- Members thanked the RSATTM for his report and welcomed the suggested proposals to reduce the speed limits. It was felt residents would likely be very supportive of these proposals also.
- In relation to the A29 speed limit reduction, it was requested a 20mph advisory sign on a sharp bend be kept in place as it encouraged motorists to slow down accordingly.

#### **Resolution:**

The Local Committee (Mole Valley):

- i. Noted the results of the speed limit assessments undertaken;
- ii. Agreed that, based upon the evidence, the speed limit be reduced to 40mph (from 60 mph or 50mph) in the section of the A29 Ockley Road/Beare Green Road and Stane Street, Ockley, for the length which extends from a point 60 metres south-west of the junction with the Beare Green Roundabout south-westwards to a point 260 metres north-east of the junction with Coles Lane.
- iii. Agreed that, based upon the evidence, the speed limit be reduced to 40mph (from 60mph) in the section of the A243 Kingston Road Leatherhead for the length which extends from a point 200 metres north of its junction with the Junction 9 Roundabout to a point 80 metres south of its junction with Epsom Gap.
- iv. Authorised the advertisement of a notice in accordance with the Road Traffic Regulation Act 1984, the effect of which will be to implement the proposed speed limit changes described above, revoke any existing traffic orders necessary to implement the change, and, subject to no objections being upheld, that the order be made;
- v. Noted that a speed limit order has already been advertised in accordance with the Road Traffic Regulation Act 1984, the effect of which will be to implement a change in speed limit from 50 mph to 40 mph on the A217 Reigate Road for the length of road which is currently 50 mph between the Westvale Park roundabout and the junction with Horse Hill, and to revoke any existing traffic orders necessary to implement the change. Note that part of the speed limit change proposal on the A217 described above falls within Reigate and Banstead. The Reigate & Banstead local

committee have previously authorised the advertisement of this order.

vi. Authorised delegation of authority to the Area Highway Manager in consultation with the Chairman, Vice-Chairman of the Local Committee and the local divisional member to resolve any objections received in connection with the proposals described above.

#### **Reason for Decisions:**

The above decision were made because a reduced speed limit would help to reduce traffic speeds and therefore reduce risk and severity of collisions on the A29 Ockley Road/Beare Green Road and Stane Street, Ockley and the A243 Kingston Road, Leatherhead where there has been a history of collisions including death and serious injury.

A reduced speed limit on the A217 Reigate Road, Hookwood would also reduce the risk and severity of collisions and would improve the consistency in the speed limits on this road.

#### 32/20 DECISION TRACKER [FOR INFORMATION] [Item 8]

The Local Committee noted the decision tracker and agreed items marked as closed and complete could be removed.

A question was asked about the timeline for implementation of schemes agreed from the 2019 parking review. It was thought this would likely be in early 2021.

#### 33/20 FORWARD PLAN [FOR INFORMATION] [Item 9]

The Local Committee noted the forward plan of items expected to be received at future meetings.

Meeting ended at: 3.23 pm

Chairman

This page is intentionally left blank

#### LOCAL COMMITTEE (MOLE VALLEY)



#### DATE: 24 FEBRUARY 2021

#### LEAD OFFICER: ZENA CURRY, AREA HIGHWAY MANAGER

SUBJECT: UPDATED HIGHWAYS FORWARD PROGRAMME 2021/22 – 2023/24

#### DIVISION: ALL

#### SUMMARY OF ISSUE:

This report seeks approval of the recently updated programme of highway works for Mole Valley funded from the Local Committee's delegated capital and revenue budgets.

#### **RECOMMENDATIONS:**

#### The Local Committee (Mole Valley) is asked to:

#### <u>General</u>

- i. Note that the Local Committee's devolved highways budget for capital works in 2021/22 is £537,000.
- ii. Agree that the devolved capital budget for highway works be used to progress both capital improvement schemes and capital maintenance schemes.
- iii. Note that should there be any changes to the programme of highway works as set out in this report, a report will be taken to a future meeting of Mole Valley Local Committee to inform members of the changes.
- iv. Authorise that the Area Highway Manager, in consultation with the Local Committee Chairman and Vice-Chairman, be able to allocate any additional funding for schemes, in accordance with any guidance issued surrounding that funding.

#### Capital Improvement Schemes

- v. Agree that the capital improvement schemes allocation for Mole Valley be used to progress the Integrated Transport Schemes programme set out in Annex 1.
- vi. Authorise that the Area Highway Manager, in consultation with the Local Committee Chairman and Vice-Chairman, be able to vire money between the schemes agreed in Annex 1, if required.

vii. Agree that the Local Committee Chairman, Vice-Chairman and Area Highway Team Manager, together with the local divisional Member are able to progress any scheme from the Integrated Transport Schemes programme, including consultation and statutory advertisement that may be required under the Road Traffic Regulation Act 1984, for completion of those schemes. Where it is agreed that a scheme will not be progressed, this will be reported back to the next formal meeting of the Local Committee for approval.

#### Capital Maintenance Schemes (LSR)

viii. Agree that the capital maintenance schemes allocation for Mole Valley be divided equitably between County Councillors to carry out capital maintenance works in their divisions, and that the schemes to be progressed be agreed by divisional members in consultation with the Area Maintenance Engineer.

#### Revenue Maintenance

- ix. Note that the members will continue to receive a Member Local Highways Fund (revenue) allocation of £7,500 per county member to address highway issues in their division; and
- x. Agree that the Member Local Highways Fund be managed by the Area Maintenance Engineer on behalf of and in consultation with members.

#### **REASONS FOR RECOMMENDATIONS:**

To agree a forward programme of highways works in Mole Valley for 2021/22 – 2023/24, funded from the Local Committee's devolved budget.

#### **1. INTRODUCTION AND BACKGROUND:**

- 1.1 Mole Valley Local Committee receives a devolved budget for highway works in the district, comprising both capital and revenue allocations. The draft Highways Forward Programme for 2021/22 and 2022/23 for capital highways schemes was presented to the formal meeting of the Mole Valley Local Committee on 9 December 2020. Since that meeting the capital budget has substantially increased, see Table 1 in paragraph 1.6 below.
- 1.2 This report presents to the Formal Local Committee, the Draft Highways Forward Programme for 2021/22, 2022/23 and 2023/24 for capital highway schemes.
- 1.3 **Capital:** The Local Committee's devolved highways budget for capital works is based on the Medium Term Financial Plan 2021 to 2024, with each Local Committee receiving £100,000 and a further amount based on a formula which includes factors such as road length and population. Therefore the Mole Valley Local Committee's budget for capital works for 2021/22 is £537,000, with £296,000 for capital ITS improvement schemes and £241,000 for capital maintenance.

- 1.4 It is proposed that the capital maintenance budget of £241,000 will be divided equitably between County Members, resulting in £40,167 per member and that the schemes to be progressed will be identified by members in consultation with the Area Maintenance Engineer.
- 1.5 **Revenue:** Members will continue to receive an allocation of £7,500 per county member to address highways issues in their division.
- 1.6 Table 1 summarises the various funding streams together with the budgets for 2021/22. It also refers to the relevant parts of the report which set out how it is proposed to allocate this funding and the recommendations relating to each funding stream.

Funding Stream	Level of Funding 2021/22	Relevant sections of report	Relevant recommendations
Capital Improvement Schemes (ITS) – <b>Annex</b> 1.	£296,000	Paras. 2.1 – 2.4 Annex 1	(i), (ii), (iii), (iv), (v), (vi) and (vii)
Capital Maintenance Schemes (LSR)	£241,000	Paras. 2.5-2.6	(ii), (iii) and (viii)
Revenue Member Local Highways Fund	£45,000	Para. 2.7	(iii), (ix) and (x)
Total	£582,000		

#### Table 1 – Summary of Local Committee Funding Levels 2021/22

- 1.7 In previous years the Local Committee agreed a series of delegated authorities and virements which enable the highways programme to be delivered in a flexible and timely manner. It is proposed that these arrangements are put in place again for 2021/22.
- 1.8 In addition to the Local Committee's devolved budget, there are Countywide capital budgets which are used to fund major maintenance (Operation Horizon), surface treatment schemes, footway schemes, drainage works and safety barrier schemes.
- 1.9 Countywide revenue budgets are used to carry out both reactive and routine maintenance works. The local area team manages a centrally funded revenue budget to carry out drainage investigation and small repairs locally.
- 1.10 The Road Safety Team manages a small Countywide budget to implement small safety schemes which are prioritised by the collision savings they provide. They also hold a small budget for the maintenance of Vehicle Activated signs and Wig Wag signs at school crossing patrol sites.

- 1.11 Contributions collected from developers through S106 agreements or Community Infrastructure Contributions (CIL) can be used to fund either wholly or in part, highway improvement schemes which mitigate the impact of developments on the highway network.
- 1.12 This report sets out the proposed programme of highway works for Mole Valley funded from the Local Committee's devolved capital and revenue budget.

#### 2. ANALYSIS:

#### **Capital Improvement Schemes (ITS)**

- 2.1 The capital improvement budget is used to carry out Integrated Transport Schemes (ITS) which aim to improve the highway network for all users, in line with the objectives set out in the Local Transport Plan. The Local Committee's devolved budget for highways capital works is £537,000, which is based on the Medium Term Financial Plan from 2021 - 2024.
- 2.2 The capital Integrated Transport Schemes (ITS) budget is £296,000 to be used to progress capital improvement schemes and this will be the same in 2022/23 and 2023/24. The proposed ITS schemes to be delivered from this budget are shown in **Annex 1**.
- 2.3 To improve the planning and delivery of ITS capital improvement schemes a three year rolling programme has been developed. **Annex 1** sets out the suggested ITS forward programme for 2021/22 to 2023/24. It should be noted that a small amount of funding has been allocated under the heading, stage 3 road safety audits/accessibility improvements/small safety and improvement schemes, signs and road markings.. This will enable stage 3 road safety audits to be carried out on schemes that were constructed in the previous financial year. It will also be used to address any small improvement requests (such as a new sign or road marking), that arise during the 2021/22 to 2023/24 financial years subject to the approval by the Chairman, Vice-Chairman and relevant divisional member.
- 2.4 It is recommended that the allocation for ITS capital improvement schemes is used as set out in **Annex 1**. It is proposed that the Area Highway Manager, in consultation with the Chairman and Vice-Chairman, be able to vire money, if required, between the schemes listed in **Annex 1**.

#### **Capital Maintenance Schemes (LSR)**

- 2.5 The capital maintenance budget is used to carry out capital maintenance works including local structural repair (LSR) of roads and footways that would not score highly under the County's prioritisation process but the condition of which are of local concern.
- 2.6 It is proposed that the £241,000 capital maintenance devolved budget for highways capital works be divided equitably between County Members, giving each member around £40,167 to spend in their divisions. This should be sufficient to progress either one larger scheme or two smaller schemes. It is proposed that the schemes to be progressed will be identified by the divisional members in consultation with the Area Maintenance Engineer.

#### Members Local Highway Fund (Revenue)

2.7 Members will continue to receive an allocation of £7,500 per county member to address highway issues in their divisions, subject to budget confirmation. It is proposed that the Member Local Highways Fund be managed by the Mole Valley Maintenance Engineer on members' behalf.

#### 3. OPTIONS:

3.1 The Local Committee is being asked to approve a forward programme of highway works for Mole Valley, as set out in this report.

#### 4. CONSULTATIONS:

- 4.1 The proposed programme of highway works for Mole Valley has been developed in consultation with the Chairman, Vice-Chairman and members of the Local Committee.
- 4.2 Appropriate consultation will be carried out as part of the delivery of the works programme.

#### 5. FINANCIAL AND VALUE FOR MONEY IMPLICATIONS:

- 5.1 The Local Committee's devolved highways budget for capital works in 2021/22, is £537,000.
- 5.2 The Local Committee's devolved highways budget is used to fund works which are a priority to the local community. A number of virements are in place or suggested to enable the budget to be managed, so as to enable the programme to be delivered in a flexible and timely manner.

#### 6. EQUALITIES AND DIVERSITY IMPLICATIONS:

6.1 It is an objective of Surrey Highways to treat all users of the public highway equally and with understanding.

#### 7. LOCALISM:

7.1 The Highways Service is mindful of the localism agenda and engages with the local community as appropriate before proceeding with the construction of any highway scheme.

#### 8. OTHER IMPLICATIONS:

Area assessed:	Direct Implications:
Crime and Disorder	Set out below.
Sustainability (including Climate	Set out below.
Change and Carbon Emissions)	
Corporate Parenting/Looked After	No significant implications arising
Children	from this report
Safeguarding responsibilities for	No significant implications arising
vulnerable children and adults	from this report
Public Health	No significant implications arising
	from this report

- 8.1 <u>Crime and Disorder implications</u> A well-managed highway network can contribute to a reduction in crime and disorder.
- 8.2 <u>Sustainability implications</u> The use of sustainable materials and the recycling of materials is carried out wherever possible and appropriate.

#### 9. CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS:

9.1 The report sets out the proposed programme of highway works for Mole Valley for 2021/22 – 2023/24, to be funded from the Local Committee's devolved capital and revenue budgets. It is recommended that the Local Committee agree the programme as set out in section 2 and Annex 1 of this report.

#### **10. WHAT HAPPENS NEXT:**

10.1 Officers will progress schemes and deliver works for 2021/22

#### **Contact Officer:**

Anne-Marie Hannam, Traffic Engineer, South East Area Team, 03456 009 009

#### Consulted:

The Chairman and Vice-Chairman of the Local Committee and divisional members have been consulted on the proposed programme of highway works.

#### Annexes:

Annex 1: Integrated Transport Schemes Programme 2021/22 – 2023/24

#### Sources/background papers:

Medium Term Financial Plan 2021-2024

## MOLE VALLEY INTEGRATED TRANSPORT SCHEME (ITS) PROGRAMME 2021/22 - 2023/24

			2021/22		2	2022/23		2	023/24	
		С	Budget		С	Budget	1	С	Budget	Comments
Scheme/Title	D	Ν	Allocation	D	Ν	Allocation	D	Ν	Allocation	Comments
A25 Reigate Road, Betchworth - speed limit reduction		•	£6,000							Reduction of speed limit following results of speed surveys carried out in September 2018.
A24 Deepdene Avenue, Dorking - street lighting.				•	•	£30,000				Installation of street lights on A24 Deepdene Avenue, to complete street lighting.
A25 Reigate Road, Brockham - informal pedestrian crossing near Brockham Lane junction.	•		£8,000		•	£45,000				Feasibility study/design of informal pedestrian crossing, following reduction of speed limit in 2021/22.
Eastwick Park Avenue, Bookham	•		£8,000		•	£30,000				Feasibility and construction of pedestrian improvements outside entrance to Eastwick Junior School.
A246 Guildford Road - pedestrian crossing between the junctions of Groveside and Hawkwood Rise.		•	£45,000							Construction of pedestrian crossing in 2021/22 following outcome of feasibility design in 2020/21.
Stonny Croft, Ashtead - 20mph speed limit and speed reduction measures outside The Greville Primary School	•	•	£15,000		•	£75,000				Feasibility study and design of speed reduction measures.
A245 Randalls Road, Leatherhead - footway/cycleway from Cleeve Road to Leatherhead train station.	•	•	£125,000							Design of footway/cycleway scheme largely complete, and installation of toucan crossing at the Cleeve Road junction was complete in 2016.
Taleworth Road, Ashtead - 20mph speed limit and speed reduction measures outside West Ashtead Primary School	•	•	£15,000		•	£75,000				Feasibility study and design of speed reduction measures.
A24 Horsham Road/Mill Road, South Holmwood - closure of turning lane in central reservation.	•	•	£60,000							Design and construction of measures to close turning lane in central reservation.
Lower Road/Little Bookham Street - zebra crossing on Lower Road.				•		£15,000		•	£85,000	Feasibility study and design of zebra crossing on Lower Road, just to the east of the junction with Little Bookham Street.
Church Road, Bookham - speed reduction measures.				•		£15,000		•	£150,000	Feasibility study and design of speed reduction measures in Church Road, Bookham.
Park Lane/Dene Road - pedestrian crossing improvements							•	•	£50,000	Pedestrian crossing improvements on Park Lane at the junction with Dene Road, to improve route to school.
Stage 3 Road Safety Audits/Accessibility Improvements/Small safety and improvement schemes/signs and road markings.	•	•	£14,000	•	•	£11,000	•	•	£11,000	Schemes to be identified during the year and agreed by Chairman, Vice- Chairman and local divisional Members.
			£296,000			£296,000			£296,000	

### NOTE:

The programme for 2022/23 and 2023/24 is indicative and subject to confirmation. Costs may change following design.

KEY:
D = Design
C = Construction

This page is intentionally left blank

# **Local Committee Decision and Action Tracker**

This tracker monitors progress against the decisions and actions that the Local Committee has made. It is updated before each committee meeting. (Last Updated 11/02/21).

- Decisions and actions will be marked as 'open', where work to implement the decision is ongoing by the Local/Joint Committee.
- When decisions are reported to the committee as '**complete**', they will also be marked as '**closed**'. The Committee will then be asked to agree to remove these items from the tracker. For some decisions the Committee and public will be able to monitor the progress through Surrey County Council website. A link to the webpage will be included on the item when marked as complete.
- Decisions may also be '**closed'** if further progress is not possible at this time, even though the action is not yet complete. An explanation will be included in the comment section. In this case, the action can remain on the tracker should the Committee request.

τ

Ref number	Meeting Date	Decision	Status (Open/ Closed)	Officer	Comment or update	
1.	05/09/18 22/01/20	Officers to work with Chairman and petitioners to look again at the possibility of implementing an experimental Traffic Regulation Order on the High Street, Leatherhead as well as other	Closed	AHM/Transport Strategy Projects Manager	Temporary Active Travel measures have been implemented on the street. Details of these can be viewed here: <u>https://surreycovidsoutheast.commonplace.is/schemes/proposals/high-street-leatherhead/details</u> No further action to be taken by the Local Committee at this stage whilst temporary measures remain in place.	I ITEM



2.	12/12/18	options that could be available To organise a meeting with the appropriate councillors and officers and Dorking Town Forum to resolve long-running issues	Closed	Area Highways Manager	A meeting took place in January 2019 with a representative of Dorking Town Forum. No further update to report and no further action to be taken by the Local Committee at this stage.
3. Page 20	12/12/18	Pippbrook Mill Path – to hold discussions with district council over costs to repair and maintain the weir to ensure footpath remains open	Closed	Countryside Access Team	A Schedule 14 application has been made to look at a Map Modification Order. This application is available to view on the SCC website where updates on the progress of the application will be included. <u>https://www.surreycc.gov.uk/land-planning-and-development/countryside/footpaths-byways-and-bridleways/map-modification-applications/london-road-to-fairfield-drive</u> It is likely no further progress will be made on this until 2022 due to other existing applications that require processing. No further action to be taken by the Local Committee at this stage. Item to reopen when progress is possible.
4.	17/06/20	To advertise and implement the speed limit reduction on the A24 Deepdene Avenue from 50mph down to 40mph	Open	Area Highways Manager	Consultation has taken place and the speed limit reduction is due to be in place before the end of March 2021.
5.	17/06/20	To advertise and implement the speed limit	Open	Area Highways Managers	Consultation has taken place and the speed limits on Abinger Lane, a section of Sutton Lane and Evelyn Cottages are due to be reduced by the end of March 2021.

Page 6.	17/06/20	reduction from 60mph down to 20mph in sections of Abinger Lane and Sutton Lane and in the entire length of Evelyn Cottages. And also, to advertise and implement a speed limit reduction from 60mph down to 30mph in a section of Abinger Lane To introduce bus	Open	Senior	The lining for the bus stop cleanways has been ordered by the Perking Team	
уе 21	17/06/20	to introduce bus stop clearways at The Park bus stops, Church Road, Bookham	Open	Transport Officer	The lining for the bus stop clearways has been ordered by the Parking Team. We are still awaiting completion by the contractor.	
7.	09/12/20	Officers to continue to look for sources of funding to construct a controlled crossing point in Chalkpit Lane, Dorking	Closed	Area Highways Manager	Currently there is no funding available to progress with this scheme. Until the time that funding is available this item will remain closed on the tracker as there is no further action to be taken by the Local Committee.	
8.	09/12/20	To advertise and implement a speed limit reduction to	Open	Road Safety and Active Travel Team Manager	On track to be implemented in the 2021-22 financial year.	ITEM 7

40mph in a	
section of A29,	
Ockley, a section	
of A243,	
Leatherhead and	1
a section of	
A217, Hookwood	

#### **Details of future meetings**

#### Dates for the Mole Valley Local Committee 2020/21: 9 June 2021, 10 November 2021, 9 March 2022

The Committee meeting commences at 2pm with an Open Forum for informal public questions [this has been suspended whilst virtual Local Committee meetings are in place]. This forward plan sets out the anticipated reports for future meetings and will be used in preparation for the next committee meeting. However, this is a flexible forward plan and all items are subject to change. The Local Committee is asked to note and comment on the forward plan outlined below.

Торіс	Purpose	Contact Officer	Proposed date
Decision Tracker	For information	Partnership Committee Officer	ALL
Forward Programme	Review the Forward Programme and consider further themes for Member briefings	Partnership Committee Officer	ALL
Public Footpath 24 (Leatherhead)- Green Lane Level Crossing investigation	To consider whether a Definitive Map Modification Order should be made at the given location	Countryside Access Officer	June 2021
Annual Parking Review	To consider any changes to on- street car parking restrictions across the district	Senior Parking Engineer	June 2021
Proposed Traffic Regulation Order for BOAT 526 Wotton & Capel (Wolvens Lane) D (287) Road	To consider whether BOAT 526 should be permanently closed to motorised vehicles following an extensive consultation	Senior Countryside Access Officer	June 2021
Cycling Strategy Update	To update the local committee on the Cycling Strategy within Mole Valley to include updated plans following COVID-19	Transport Planner	ТВС
Flood Alleviation Update		Flood Risk Management Strategy & Partnerships Team Leader	ТВС

Regulation Order for BOAT 118 LeatherheadTo agree the Traffic Regulation Order at this locationSenior Countryside Access OfficerTBC
---